First Sources - Relationship between Aphantasia and Embodied Cognition

PRIMARY SOURCES

1. **Dawes, A.J., Keogh, R., Andrillon, T. *et al.* A cognitive profile of multi-sensory imagery, memory and dreaming in aphantasia. *Sci Rep* 10, 10022 (2020).**

    1. Here we found that individuals with aphantasia report signifcant reductions in sensory simulation across a range of volitional and non-volitional mental processes, and overall appear to demonstrate a markedly distinct pattern of cognition compared to individuals with visual imagery. Notably, aphantasic individuals reported signifcantly reduced imagery across all sensory modalities (and not just visual). However, only 26.22% of aphantasic participants reported a total absence of multi-sensory imagery altogether, raising important questions about the primary aetiology of aphantasia and suggesting possible sub-categories of aphantasia within a heterogeneous group (8).

2. **Ganczarek, Joanna & Żurawska-Żyła, Renata & Rolek, Aleksandra. (2020). "I remember things, but I can't picture them." What can a case of aphantasia tell us about imagery and memory?. Psychiatria i Psychologia Kliniczna. 20. 134-141.**

    1. The relationship between aphantasia and memory is mentioned in Zeman's account of the M.X. patient. In fact, it seems that aphantasia may provide an interesting opportunity to study the relationship between memory and imagery. Usually, in order to separate memory from imagery, researchers have to employ complex experimental procedures and consider the vividness of mental imagery as a correlate of performance in memory tasks (e.g. Baddeley and Andrade, 2000; Gur and Hilgard, 1975; Keogh and Pearson, 2011, 2014). Instead, in aphantasic individuals we can observe how memory works in the absence of mental imagery. To the best of our knowledge, among the different types of memory, aphantasia has been studied in the context of visual working memory and autobiographical memory (135).

3. **Wilson, M. (2002). Six views of embodied cognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 9(4), 625–636.**

    1. Rather than continue to treat embodied cognition as a single viewpoint, we need to treat the specific claims that have been advanced, each according to its own merits. One benefit of greater specificity is the ability to distinguish on-line aspects of embodied cognition from off-line aspects. The former include the arenas of cognitive activity that are embedded in a task-relevant external

situation, including cases that may involve time pressure and may involve off-loading information or cognitive work onto the environment. In these cases, the mind can be seen as operating to serve the needs of a body interacting with a real-world situation (635).

4. **Gibbs, R. W., Jr., & Berg, E. A. (2002). Mental imagery and embodied activity. Journal of Mental Imagery, 26(1–2), 1–30.**

    1. Most generally, both blind and sighted individuals' haptic abilities are constrained by a complex coordination between tactile senses, proprioception, and the involvement of the motor cortex. The large range of imagery evidence for the blind clearly rejects the idea that mental images are amodally visual or amodally spatial (Intons-Peterson & Roskos-Ewoldsen, 1989). These findings suggest that there is no reason to believe a visual representation is necessary for mental imagery (7).

5. **Takahashi, J., Saito, G., Omura, K., Yasunaga, D., Sugimura, S., Sakamoto, S., … Gyoba, J. (2022, May 25). Diversity of aphantasia revealed by multiple assessments of the capability for multi-sensory imagery.**

    1. There is a large discrepancy between the criteria for VVIQ and self-identification of the absence of visual imagery. Although the criteria for identifying aphantasia have not yet been determined, many studies have used the VVIQ criteria to identify aphantasia and have conducted perceptual and cognitive experiments to reveal the characteristics of people with aphantasia, focusing on tasks associated with visual imagery (4).

6. **Jacobs, C., Schwarzkopf, D. S., & Silvanto, J. (2018). Visual working memory performance in aphantasia. *Cortex*, 105, 61–73.**

    1. In order to investigate the functional role of mental imagery in visual working memory, we compared performance of a congenitally aphantasic individual to that of a group of age-matched controls on a number of different (visual) working memory aspects. The first surprising result was that her performance in the mental imagery task did not differ from controls. However, her metacognitive performance on this task was lower than that of controls; specifically, she overestimated her own performance on inaccurate trials. Thus, although she was able to perform a task that was designed to require mental imagery, she lacked insight into her performance (9).

7. **McNorgan, C.(2012). A meta-analytic review of multisensory imagery identifies the neural correlates of modality-specific and modality-general imagery. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, *6*, 285.**

1. Activations were seen bilaterally in the general imagery analysis, and in some modalities (auditory, motor, gustatory, visual form and visual motion), but were primarily left-lateralized. It was noted earlier that perceptually-based representational theoriesassume that multisensory imagery underlies semantic retrieval (10).

SECONDARY SOURCES

1. **Iachini, T. (2011). Mental imagery and embodied cognition: A multimodal approach. *Journal of Mental Imagery, 35*(3-4), 1–66.**

    1. It was argued that data about the neural bases of mental imagery would overcome the indeterminacy problem and resolve the imagery debate (Kosslyn, 1994). Indeed, neural data, unlike behavioral data, are not ambiguous because they locate the basis of imagery in the same areas underlying visual perception. The neural theory distinguishes between low level visual perception (a bottom-up process that is driven by on-line external stimulation) and high level visual perception (a top-down process that is driven by information stored in long-term memory). Mental imagery belongs to the latter category. Stored perceptual information can be used both to assist in recognition of stimuli being perceived (e.g., when stimuli are degraded) and to generate mental images in the absence of external stimulation (9).

2. **Palmiero, M., Piccardi, L., Giancola, M., Nori, R., D'Amico, S., & Olivetti Belardinelli, M. (2019). The format of mental imagery: from a critical review to an integrated embodied representation approach. *Cognitive Processing*.**

    1. Since mental images generally rely on representations of things that are not actually present to senses, their activation vary widely according to two characteristics: the individual ability to evoke subjective perceptual and motor experiences manifested in terms of diferences in the vividness of images, and the strategy preferentially used in the individual processing of the related sensory information. This does not mean that imagery ability and imagery strategy are involved into organizing principles and mechanisms of imagery, but that they are fundamental characteristics of imagery that can be also added on the top of the integrated embodied representation approach (6).

3. **Adams, F. (2010). Embodied cognition. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, *9*(4), 619-628.**

    1. Influenced by Barsalou (1999) and Gibson (1979) and being among those who are helping to develop the view that cognition is embodied, Glenberg and colleagues (for example, Glenberg and Kaschak 2002) accept the view meaning is embodied and "consists in a set of affordances…a set of actions available to the

animal."(558) On this view, words and phrases are indexed or mapped to perceptual symbols— calling this the Indexical Hypothesis (IH) about meaning. And they see perceptual symbols as modal and non-arbitrary. That is, the affordances are derived from perceptual symbols and the meanings of these symbols are grounded in the sensorimotor system (620).

4. Gallagher, S. (2011). Interpretations of embodied cognition.

1. In contrast to G&D, who rule out anatomy and bodily movement as important, non-trivial factors for cognition, other theorists suggest that anatomy and movement are important contributors to the shaping of cognition prior to brain processing (pre-processing) and subsequent to brain processing (post-processing) of information in the cognitive system (e.g., Chiel and Beer 1997; Shapiro 2004; Straus 1966; see Gallagher 2005a). Embodiment in this case means that extra-neural structural features of the body shape our cognitive experience (5).

5. Anderson, M. L. (2003). Embodied cognition: A field guide. *Artificial intelligence*, *149*(1), 91-130.

1. Simply put, cognitivism is the hypothesis that the central functions of mind—of thinking—can be accounted for in terms of the manipulation of symbols according to explicit rules. Cognitivism has, in turn, three elements of note: representation, formalism, and rule-based transformation. First and foremost is the idea that cognition centrally involves *representation*; cognitivism is committed to the existence of "distinct, identifiable, inner states or processes"—that is, the symbols—"whose systemic or functional role is to stand in for specific features or states of affairs" [20, p. 43]. However, just as is the case in modern logic, it is the *form* of the symbol (or the proposition of which the symbol is a part) and not its meaning that is the basis of its rule-based transformation.