

First Sources - Relationship between **Aphantasia** and **Embodied Cognition**

PRIMARY SOURCES

1. Dawes, A.J., Keogh, R., Andrillon, T. *et al.* A cognitive profile of multi-sensory imagery, memory and dreaming in aphantasia. *Sci Rep* 10, 10022 (2020).

1. Here we found that individuals with aphantasia report significant reductions in sensory simulation across a range of volitional and non-volitional mental processes, and overall appear to demonstrate a markedly distinct pattern of cognition compared to individuals with visual imagery. Notably, aphantasic individuals reported significantly reduced imagery across all sensory modalities (and not just visual). However, only 26.22% of aphantasic participants reported a total absence of multi-sensory imagery altogether, raising important questions about the primary aetiology of aphantasia and suggesting possible sub-categories of aphantasia within a heterogeneous group (8).
2. Despite these demographic discrepancies, the results of our replication analysis with control group 2 revealed a remarkably similar pattern of between-group effects to our main analysis (see Tables S2–6 in Supplementary Information). Additionally, a majority of the significant changes to our results that did occur are congruent with established effects of age and gender on cognitive outcomes. For example, our finding that undergraduate participants reported significantly more frequent memory intrusions and avoidance behaviours than aphantasic participants in response to stressful life events may be explained by the typically higher prevalence of PTSD diagnosis and symptomatology amongst females (and younger females in particular) (8-9).
3. Visual imagery, or seeing with the mind's eye, contributes to essential cognitive processes such as episodic memory, future event prospection, visual working memory, and dreaming. By allowing us to re-live the past and simulate hypothetical futures, visual imagery enables us to flexibly and adaptively interpret the events we experience in the world, and by extension appears to be an important precursor to our ability to plan effectively and engage in guided decision-making. Consequently, the frequency and content of maladaptive visual imagery are often defining features of mental illness and mental imagery is often elevated in disorders characterised by hallucinations (1).

2. Ganczarek, Joanna & Żurawska-Żyła, Renata & Rolek, Aleksandra. (2020). "I remember things, but I can't picture them." What can a case of aphantasia tell us about imagery and memory?. *Psychiatria i Psychologia Kliniczna*. 20. 134-141.

1. The relationship between aphantasia and memory is mentioned in Zeman's account of the M.X. patient. In fact, it seems that aphantasia may provide an interesting opportunity to study the relationship between memory and imagery. Usually, in order to separate memory from imagery, researchers have to employ complex experimental procedures and consider the vividness of mental imagery as a correlate of performance in memory tasks (e.g. Baddeley and Andrade, 2000; Gur and Hilgard, 1975; Keogh and Pearson, 2011, 2014). Instead, in aphantasic individuals we can observe how memory works in the absence of mental imagery. To the best of our knowledge, among the different types of memory, aphantasia has been studied in the context of visual working memory and autobiographical memory (135).
2. Moreover, she scored very low in object imagery, but had a higher score in spatial and verbal subscales of OSIVQ. This suggests that A.B. experiences no vivid images and has a preference for spatial and verbal reasoning. Her good performance in spatial tasks is further supported by a higher than usual score in the mental rotation test. Also, despite a clear lack of visual imagery, she performed normally in both the forward and backward span of the Corsi Block-Tapping Task, suggesting good capacity and performance of her working memory, including visuospatial working memory (138-139).
3. These compensatory strategies may be difficult to assess through introspection, therefore, neuroimaging may be very useful in gaining insight into the nature of these strategies. Moreover, aphantasia can provide an insight into the role of imagery in perception, memory, attention and other cognitive processes. Also, it could shed light on the relationship between imagery and social functioning as well as emotional processing of past, present and future experiences (140).

3. Wilson, M. (2002). Six views of embodied cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 9(4), 625–636.

1. Rather than continue to treat embodied cognition as a single viewpoint, we need to treat the specific claims that have been advanced, each according to its own merits. One benefit of greater specificity is the ability to distinguish on-line aspects of embodied cognition from off-line aspects. The former include the arenas of cognitive activity that are embedded in a task-relevant external situation, including cases that may involve time pressure and may involve off-loading information or cognitive work onto the environment. In these cases, the mind can be seen as operating to serve the needs of a body interacting with a real-world situation (635).
2. Simply put, situated cognition is cognition that takes place in the context of task-relevant inputs and outputs. That is, while a cognitive process is being carried out, perceptual information continues to come in that affects processing, and motor activity is executed that affects the environment in task-relevant ways. Driving, holding a conversation, and moving around a room while trying to imagine where the furniture should go are all cognitive activities that are situated in this sense (626).

3. Despite the fact that we frequently choose to run our cognitive processes off line, it is still true that in some situations we are forced to function on line. In those situations, what do we do about our cognitive limitations? One response, as we have seen, is to fall apart. However, humans are not entirely helpless when confronting the representational bottleneck, and two types of strategies appear to be available when one is confronting on-line task demands. The first is to rely on preloaded representations acquired through prior learning (discussed further in Section 6). What about novel stimuli and tasks, though? In these cases there is a second option, which is to reduce the cognitive workload by making use of the environment itself in strategic ways—leaving information out there in the world to be accessed as needed, rather than taking time to fully encode it; and using epistemic actions (Kirsh & Maglio, 1994) to alter the environment in order to reduce the cognitive work remaining to be done (628).

4. Gibbs, R. W., Jr., & Berg, E. A. (2002). Mental imagery and embodied activity. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 26(1–2), 1–30.

1. Most generally, both blind and sighted individuals' haptic abilities are constrained by a complex coordination between tactile senses, proprioception, and the involvement of the motor cortex. The large range of imagery evidence for the blind clearly rejects the idea that mental images are amodally visual or amodally spatial (Intons-Peterson & Roskos-Ewoldsen, 1989). These findings suggest that there is no reason to believe a visual representation is necessary for mental imagery (7).
2. Our claim that there are fundamental links between embodied activity and mental imagery rests on the idea that perception itself is fundamentally based on kinesthetic action. As Gibson (1979) famously argued, movement is essential to perception. When people merely touch an object, they understand little of what is perceived unless they move their hands and explore its contours and texture. Although our hands contain sensory transducers, the musculature with which we control movement allows us to explore objects in ways that make it easy to identify what is being felt. When we lift an object, this reveals something about its weight, rubbing our fingers across it tells us about its texture, and its overall shape, and squeezing it says something about its compressibility (2).
3. For instance, Ahsen (2000) argues that traditional empirical work on the vividness of mental imagery has dramatically downplayed, or even ignored, how imagery works in dynamic operations. Although it is widely believed that vivid mental images are quite useful in learning and memory, there are significant demonstrations that vividness may interfere with people's concrete and abstract problem-solving abilities (Ahsen, 1985, 1990, 2000). Thus, traditional scaling methods used to assess the vividness of mental imagery often fail to tell us much about those functions arising from the interplay between vividness and unvividness... (22).

5. Takahashi, J., Saito, G., Omura, K., Yasunaga, D., Sugimura, S., Sakamoto, S., ... Gyoba, J. (2022, May 25). Diversity of aphantasia revealed by multiple assessments of the capability for multi-sensory imagery.

1. There is a large discrepancy between the criteria for VVIQ and self-identification of the absence of visual imagery. Although the criteria for identifying aphantasia have not yet been determined, many studies have used the VVIQ criteria to identify aphantasia and have conducted perceptual and cognitive experiments to reveal the characteristics of people with aphantasia, focusing on tasks associated with visual imagery (4).
2. Our data showed a ratio of 3.67% under the VVIQ criteria ($VVIQ \leq 32$) and 12.24% under the self-identification criterion. We found a large discrepancy between these proportions, in which participants reported self-identification of the absence of visual imagery even with higher VVIQ scores ($33 \leq VVIQ$) (21).
3. Due to the discrepancy between the proportions of aphantasia calculated by the two methods, and due to the existence of a case that showed the absence of multi-sensory imagery, the VVIQ is not sufficient to identify people with aphantasia. If visual aphantasia is identified only by the VVIQ, we may overlook people who show a high VVIQ score with awareness of an absence of visual imagery or who show a low VVIQ score with unawareness of the absence of visual imagery. Furthermore, we may overlook multi-sensory aphantasia. Thus, we propose that evaluations made with self-identification of the absence of visual imagery and with multi-sensory imagery may help further characterize multiple types of aphantasia (6-7).

6. Jacobs, C., Schwarzkopf, D. S., & Silvanto, J. (2018). Visual working memory performance in aphantasia. *Cortex*, 105, 61–73.

1. In order to investigate the functional role of mental imagery in visual working memory, we compared performance of a congenitally aphantasic individual to that of a group of age-matched controls on a number of different (visual) working memory aspects. The first surprising result was that her performance in the mental imagery task did not differ from controls. However, her metacognitive performance on this task was lower than that of controls; specifically, she overestimated her own performance on inaccurate trials. Thus, although she was able to perform a task that was designed to require mental imagery, she lacked insight into her performance (9).
2. Visual working memory and mental imagery are two processes that both depend on the representation and manipulation of visual mental content not driven by current visual input. Even though they share this important feature, within the field of cognitive psychology the two processes have been mostly researched independently (e.g., Tong, 2013), although some investigations on the link between visual working memory and visual imagery have been published (2).
3. A more speculative explanation is that aphantasic individuals in fact use mental imagery to perform mental imagery tasks, but without conscious awareness of the

resultant mental representation. A distinction has been made between the underlying structure of the representation and its conscious experience. In some views, the term “imagery” does not refer to subjective experience, but, rather, to a hypothetical picture-like representation (or inner representation of any sort) in the mind and brain that can give rise to quasiperceptual conscious experience (Block, 1983). Possibly, aphantasic individuals are capable of the former but not the latter.

7. McNorgan, C.(2012). A meta-analytic review of multisensory imagery identifies the neural correlates of modality-specific and modality-general imagery. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 6, 285.

1. Activations were seen bilaterally in the general imagery analysis, and in some modalities (auditory, motor, gustatory, visual form and visual motion), but were primarily left-lateralized. It was noted earlier that perceptually-based representational theories assume that multisensory imagery underlies semantic retrieval (10).
2. One challenge for this interpretation concerns the failure to show recruitment of primary sensorimotor perceptual cortices for the auditory and motor modalities. The ALE analyses showed imagery in these modalities does reliably recruit posterior superior temporal gyrus (STG) and premotor cortex, respectively. These results are consistent with Kosslyn et al. (2001) review finding that auditory imagery does not activate primary auditory cortex (A1), but does activate auditory associative areas (10).
3. Perception describes our immediate environment. Imagery, in contrast, affords us a description of past, future and hypothetical environments. Imagery and perception are thus two sides of the same coin: Perception relates to mental states induced by the transduction of energy external to the organism into neural representations, and imagery relates to internally-generated mental states driven by representations encoded in memory. Various forms of mental imagery have been implicated in a wide array of cognitive processes, from language comprehension (Bottini et al., 1994), to socially-motivated behaviors such as perspective taking (Ruby and Decety, 2001), to motor learning (Yágüez et al., 1998).

SECONDARY SOURCES

1. Iachini, T. (2011). Mental imagery and embodied cognition: A multimodal approach. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 35(3-4), 1–66.

1. It was argued that data about the neural bases of mental imagery would overcome the indeterminacy problem and resolve the imagery debate (Kosslyn, 1994). Indeed, neural data, unlike behavioral data, are not ambiguous because they locate the basis of imagery in the same areas underlying visual perception.

The neural theory distinguishes between low level visual perception (a bottom-up process that is driven by on-line external stimulation) and high level visual perception (a top-down process that is driven by information stored in long-term memory). Mental imagery belongs to the latter category. Stored perceptual information can be used both to assist in recognition of stimuli being perceived (e.g., when stimuli are degraded) and to generate mental images in the absence of external stimulation (9).

2. Cognition is grounded in the body in two ways: it emerges from the brain; and, it emerges from the dynamic body/environment interaction. A transversal argument in embodied cognition theories is that cognition is grounded in the brain. This assumes that cognition emerges from neural activation and is constrained by the cerebral anatomy and physiology; it implies that cognitive models should be constrained by neural data, according to the principle of neural plausibility. However, it is important to regard the relationship as reciprocal. Not only does neural data put constraints on cognitive models, but cognitive methods are fundamental for the advancement of neuroscience (Barsalou 2010) (11-12).
3. Much of the early history of the imagery literature focused on the format of mental images; specifically, whether it is analog/depictive or propositional/descriptive (see Farah, Hammond, Levine & Calvanio, 1988; Pinker, 1984). Known as the analog/propositional debate (Kosslyn 1980, 1981, 1983, 1994; Pylyshyn 1973, 1981, 1984), the propositional position (e.g., Pylyshyn) holds that mental images have a sentence-like (i.e., descriptive) format while the analog position (e.g., Kosslyn) claims that mental images are a kind of picture-like (i.e., depictive) representation. The central point of the debate is not about demonstrating the existence of mental images, of which we are introspectively aware and that correspond to conscious percept-like representations (2).

2. Palmiero, M., Piccardi, L., Giancola, M., Nori, R., D'Amico, S., & Olivetti Belardinelli, M. (2019). The format of mental imagery: from a critical review to an integrated embodied representation approach. *Cognitive Processing*.

1. Since mental images generally rely on representations of things that are not actually present to senses, their activation vary widely according to two characteristics: the individual ability to evoke subjective perceptual and motor experiences manifested in terms of differences in the vividness of images, and the strategy preferentially used in the individual processing of the related sensory information. This does not mean that imagery ability and imagery strategy are involved into organizing principles and mechanisms of imagery, but that they are fundamental characteristics of imagery that can be also added on the top of the integrated embodied representation approach (6).
2. In general, it rejects the idea that cognition works by processing abstract symbols. It focuses on the role of the body, action, environment and sensorimotor experience. The basic assumption is that perception is direct and serves to guide

actions in cooperation with the environment, offering affordances of interactions in relation to the sensorimotor capacities of the organism, either for good or ill (Garbadini and Adenzato 2004; Gibson 1966, 1979). For example, a set of stairs represents an affordance that they be used for going up or down to a human adult, but not for a crawling infant that is not yet able to walk. Thus, cognition is grounded in the body because it emerges from both the brain and the dynamic interaction between the body and its environment (2).

3. The attunement to sensorimotor laws is a state that changes continuously to determine the contingency between sensory inputs and movement outputs. In this way, mental imagery relies on a state of familiarity and harmony with sensorimotor laws, without rehearsing the exploration mechanisms of the environment. What is being activated during imagery is ultimately the knowledge of the potential applicability of the law that describes the event corresponding to the content of imagery (Foglia and O'Regan 2016). In general, this approach entails two basic factors: (1) the possession and exercise of sensorimotor know-how; and (2) no reenactment of perceptual experience is required, but rather the expectation as to how the sensory input changes as a function of movements (4).

3. Adams, F. (2010). Embodied cognition. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 9(4), 619-628.

1. Influenced by Barsalou (1999) and Gibson (1979) and being among those who are helping to develop the view that cognition is embodied, Glenberg and colleagues (for example, Glenberg and Kaschak 2002) accept the view meaning is embodied and “consists in a set of affordances...a set of actions available to the animal.”(558) On this view, words and phrases are indexed or mapped to perceptual symbols— calling this the Indexical Hypothesis (IH) about meaning. And they see perceptual symbols as modal and non-arbitrary. That is, the affordances are derived from perceptual symbols and the meanings of these symbols are grounded in the sensorimotor system (620).
2. In a second experiment (Borghetti et al. 2004), subjects took the perspectives inside (driving the car) or outside (filling the tank). Then subjects were asked to identify car parts that would be near or far from those perspectives. From inside the license plate would be far. From outside the steering wheel would be far, and license plate near. From the inside perspective, subjects were faster (50 ms) to identify near inside car parts than far inside car parts. From the outside perspective, subjects were faster (100 ms) to identify near outside car parts than far outside car parts (622).
3. First, perceptual/motor experiences have a phenomenal content, a *what it's like* ...that belief that p does not have. One may believe something more or less strongly, of course, but that is quite different than the taste of sugar or smell of a rose or feel of moving one's feet in the sand. There is no phenomenology of the sort associated with perceptual-motor activity in cognition. This should not be overlooked. Second, perceptual states generally admit of more or less intensity...

knowledge that p (which involves belief) does not...believing can be more or less intense, but not the that p. And third, perceptual states have a particularity (this blue, that bitter). Beliefs have a generality (blueness, bitterness) that perceptual/motor states lack.

4. Gallagher, S. (2011). Interpretations of embodied cognition.

1. In contrast to G&D, who rule out anatomy and bodily movement as important, non-trivial factors for cognition, other theorists suggest that anatomy and movement are important contributors to the shaping of cognition prior to brain processing (pre-processing) and subsequent to brain processing (post-processing) of information in the cognitive system (e.g., Chiel and Beer 1997; Shapiro 2004; Straus 1966; see Gallagher 2005a). Embodiment in this case means that extra-neural structural features of the body shape our cognitive experience (5).
2. Many of these insights are still cast in terms of information processing, and as such may be consistent with the general principles of classical cognitivism. As Shapiro notes: “steps in a cognitive process that a traditionalist would attribute to symbol manipulation might, from the perspective of EC, emerge from the physical attributes of the body” (2007, p. 340). In addition, even if the body is doing some of the work, cognitivists could easily claim that pre-processing is in fact feeding the more central processing that is certainly more constitutive of cognition, just as post-processing is to some degree determined by instructions from the brain as central processor (5).
3. In this regard, the physical body functions as a non-neural vehicle for cognitive processes, in much the same general way that the physical processes of neurons do. The body is part of an extended cognitive system that starts with the brain and includes body and environment. As he puts it, “the larger systemic wholes, incorporating brains, bodies, the motion of sense organs, and (under some conditions) the information-bearing states of non-biological props and aids, may sometimes constitute the mechanistic supervenience base for mental states and processes”(2008b, 38) (7).

5. Anderson, M. L. (2003). Embodied cognition: A field guide. *Artificial intelligence*, 149(1), 91-130.

1. Simply put, cognitivism is the hypothesis that the central functions of mind—of thinking—can be accounted for in terms of the manipulation of symbols according to explicit rules. Cognitivism has, in turn, three elements of note: representation, formalism, and rule-based transformation. First and foremost is the idea that cognition centrally involves *representation*; cognitivism is committed to the existence of “distinct, identifiable, inner states or processes”—that is, the symbols—“whose systemic or functional role is to stand in for specific features or states of affairs” [20, p. 43]. However, just as is the case in modern logic, it is the *form* of the symbol (or the proposition of which the symbol

is a part) and not its meaning that is the basis of its rule-based transformation (93).

2. As an illustration of how a given example of higher-order cognition can be traced back to its bodily bases, consider the metaphorical mapping “Purposes are Destinations”, and the sort of reasoning about purposes which this mapping is said to encourage. We imagine a goal as being at some place ahead of us, and employ strategies for attaining it analogous to those we might use on a journey to a place. We plan a route, imagine obstacles, and set landmarks to track our progress. In this way, our thinking about purposes (and about time, and states, and change, and many other things besides) is rooted in our thinking about space. It should come as no surprise to anyone that our concepts of space—up, down, forward, back, on, in—are deeply tied to our bodily orientation to, and our physical movement in, the world (105).
3. Along with research in situated cognition, EC further suggests that intelligence lies less in the individual brain, and more in the dynamic interaction of brains with the wider world—including especially the social and cultural worlds which are so central to human cognition—and therefore suggests that fields like sociology and cultural studies can themselves be important resources for (and in some guises are part of) the cognitive sciences (126).