Assignment: Chapter 7: On Trustworthy and Ethical AI

- 1. Self-driving cars have the potential to vastly improve our lives. Automated vehicles could substantially reduce the millions of annual deaths and injuries due to auto accidents, many of them caused by intoxicated or distracted drivers. In addition, automated vehicles would allow their human passengers to be productive rather than idle during commute times. These vehicles also have the potential to be more energy efficient than cars with human drivers and will be a godsend for blind or handicapped people who can't drive. But all this will come to pass only if we humans are willing to trust these vehicles with our lives? Do you think that you might be willing to trust your life to these vehicles? Why, or why not?
- 1A. Yes in some cases such as driving around a town because the speeds would be lower, the unpredictability of nature is lower than outside of towns so a lower chance of say deer's to be in the road which will do more damage to the car and potentially passengers inside than a squirrel in the road.
- 2. MM enumerates a number of huge benefits that AI systems already bring to society. Please list a few of theses.
- 2A. Current AI technology is central to services you yourself might use all the time, sometimes without even knowing that AI is involved, including speech transcription, GPS navigation and trip planning, email spam filters, language translation, credit card fraud alerts, book and music recommendations, protection against computer viruses, and optimizing energy usage in buildings.
- 3. MM suggests that in the near future, Al applications will likely be widespread in health care. Please list a few of the Al applications that she foresees.
- 3A. In the near future, AI applications will likely be widespread in health care. We will see AI systems assisting physicians in diagnosing diseases and in suggesting treatments, discovering new drugs; and monitoring the health and safety of the elderly in their homes.
- 4. What, according to Demis Hassabis, the cofounder of Google's DeepMind group, is the most important potential benefit of AI?
- 4A. We might have to come to the sobering realization that even with the smartest set of humans on the planet working on these problems, these [problems] may be so complex that it's difficult for individual humans and scientific experts to have the time they need in their lifetimes to even innovate and advance.... It's my belief we're going to need some assistance and I think AI is the solution to that.
- 5. In discussing the phenomenon of AI taking over jobs that humans do at this point in

time, MM raises the question of whether or not this will actually benefit society. In considering the question, she lists a number of jobs that technology automated long ago, suggesting that AI may simply be extending the same are of progress: improving life for humans by increasingly automating the necessary jobs that no one wants to do. Please list a few of the jobs that technology automated long ago.

- 5A. Here are a few examples of jobs that humans used to do but that technology automated long ago, at least in developed countries: clothes washer; rickshaw driver; elevator operator; punkawallah (a servant in India whose sole job was to work a manual fan for cooling the room, before the days of electric fans); computer (a human usually female, who performed tedious calculations by hand, particularly during World War II).
- 6. What was the AI researcher Andrew NG suggesting when he optimistically proclaimed, "AI is the new electricity."
- 6A. Ng explains further: "Just as electricity transformed almost everything 100 years ago, today I actually have a hard time thinking of an industry that I don't think AI will transform in the next several years."
- 7. What major difference does MM observe between electricity and AI?
- 7A. This is an appealing analogy: the idea that soon AI will be necessary and as invisible in our electronic devices as electricity itself.
- 8. What is "the great AI tradeoff?"
- 8A. Should we embrace the abilities of AI systems, which can improve our lives and even help save lives, and allow these systems to be employed ever more extensively? Or should we be more cautious, given current AI's unpredictable errors, susceptibility to bias, vulnerability to hacking, and lack of transparency in decision making?
- 9. TRUE/FALSE Machine intelligence presents a knotty array of ethical issues, and discussions related to the ethics of AI and big data have filled several books.

9A. True

- 10. List a couple of "positives" relating to face recognition systems. List a couple of "positives" relating to face recognition systems.
- 10A. Face-recognition technology has many potential upsides, including helping people search through their photo collections, enabling users with vision impairments to identify the people they encounter, locating missing children or criminal fugitives by scanning photos and videos for their faces, and detecting identity theft.

- 11. Present-day face-recognition systems have been shown to have a significantly higher error rate on people of color than on white people. Describe the ACLU study that strikingly underscored this point.
- 11A. The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), which vigorously opposes the use of face-recognition technology for law enforcement on civil rights grounds, tested Amazon's Rekognition system (using its default settings) on the 535 members of the U.S. Congress, comparing a photo of each member against a database of people who have been arrested on criminal charges. They found that the system incorrectly matched 28 out of the 535 members of Congress with people in the criminal database.
- 12. TRUE/FALSE Given the risk of AI technologies, many practitioners of AI are in favor of some kind of regulation. But simply leaving regulation up to AI practitioners would be as unwise as leaving it solely up to government agencies. The problems surrounding AI trustworthiness, explainability, bias, vulnerability to attack, and morality of use are social and political issues as much as they are technical ones. Thus, it is essential that the discussion around these issues include people with different perspectives and backgrounds.

12A. True

- 13. True/False questions are often used to assess student knowledge. If a student responds with the sanctioned answer, it is assumed that they possess the sanctioned knowledge. Please suggest an alternative use for True/False questions.
- 13A. A consistency test with different questions but the answers agree logically.
- 14. In one example of the complexity of crafting regulations for AI systems, in 2018 the European Parliament enacted a regulation on AI that some have called the4 "right to explanation." This regulation requires, in the case of "automated decision making," "meaningful information about the logic involved" in any decision that affects an EU citizen. This information is required to be communicated "in a concise, transparent, intelligible and easily accessible form, using clear and plain language." This opens the floodgates for interpretation. What counts as "meaningful information" or "the logic involved"? Does this regulation prohibit the use of hard-to-explain deep-learning mentods in making decisions that affect individuals (such as loans and face recognition)? Such uncertainties will no doubt ensure gainful employment for policy makers and lawyers for a long time to come. What do you think about the highlighted question? Please say a thing or two of significance about the question.
- 14A. Crafting legislation for AI systems seems to be as complex as AI itself. Part of the reason is the assumptions that we have when we interact with other people can no longer be assumed and figuring out and defining those assumptions is a very complex task.
- 15. TRUE/FALSE The infrastructure for regulating AI is just beginning to be formed. In

the United States, state governments are starting to look into creating regulations, such as those for face recognition or self-driving vehicles. However, for the most part, the universities and the companies that create AI systems have been left to regulate themselves.

15A. True

- 16. One of the stumbling blocks in regulating AI is that there is no general agreement in the field on what the priorities for developing regulation and ethics should be. At least some attention should probably be focussed on:
- · Algorithms that can explain their reasoning.
- Data privacy.
- · The robustness of AI systems to malicious attacks.
- · Bias in Al systems.
- The potential "existential risk" from superintelligent Al.

MM states her own opinion that too much attention has been given to the risks of superintelligent AI and far too little to deep learning's lack of reliability and transparency and its vulnerability to attacks. But I would like for you to venture your opinion on prioritizing the consideration of issues surrounding AI. How would you prioritize the focus of attention on these five issues? Please provide a list of all five elements, ordered from that which believe is the most pressing for consideration to that which you believe is least pressing for consideration.

16A. Top being most pressing and bottom least

- The robustness of AI systems to malicious attacks.
- Data privacy.
- Bias in Al systems.
- Algorithms that can explain their reasoning.
- The potential "existential risk" from superintelligent AI.
- 17. MM poses the question: If we are going to give decision-making autonomy to face-recognition systems, self-driving cars, elder-care robots, or even robotic solders, don't we need to give these machines the same ability to deal with ethical and moral questions that we humans have? What do you think?

17A. If we are to create a "true" Al then yes if we are to use Al as a tool then no. I'd like to think of the situation of an Al that has the ability to deal with morals and ethics and comes to the conclusion that it isn't right for the Al to be doing these tasks that we ask it to do.

18. What are Azimov's three "fundamental Rules of Robotics"?

18A. The rules:

- 1. A robot may not injure a human being, or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
- 2. A robot must obey the orders given to it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
- 3. A robot must protect its own existence, as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.
- 19. What was Azimov's purpose in proposing the three fundamental Rules of Robotics.
- 19A. Asimov's purpose was to show how such a set of rules would inevitably fail.
- 20. In Arthur C. Clarke's 1968 book 2001: A Space Odyssey, the artificially intelligent computer HAL is programmed to always be truthful to humans, but at the same time to withhold the truth from human astronauts about the actual purpose of their space mission. HAL, unlike Asimov's clueless robot, suffers from the psychological pain of this cognitive dissonance: "He was ... aware of the conflict that was slowly destroying his integrity the conflict between truth, and concealment of truth." The result is a computer "neurosis" that turns HAL into a killer. Please suggest one significant similarity between HAL and the Al Chatbots that are now being unleashed on the world, and one significant difference between HAL and the Al Chatbots that are now being unleashed on the world.
- 20A. A significant similarity between HAL and AI Chatbots is that chat bots interactions with humans are causing the machines to experience neurosis. A significant difference is that Chatbots are not killers, and neither are they intelligent machines that can think.
- 21. TRUE/FALSE The trolley problem has become a kind of symbol for asking about how we should program self-driving cars to make moral decisions on their own.

21A. True

22. TRUE/FALSE - In one survey, 76 percent of the participants answered that it would

be morally preferable for a self-driving car to sacrifice one passenger rather than killing ten pedestrians. But when asked if they would buy a self-driving car programmed to sacrifice its passengers in order to save a much larger number of pedestrians, the overwhelming majority of survey takers responded that they themselves would not buy such a car. According to the authors, "We found that participants in six Amazon Mechanical Turk studies approved of utilitarian Avs (that is, autonomous vehicles that sacrifice their passengers for the greater good) and would like others to but them, but they would themselves prefer to ride in AVs that protect their passengers at all costs."

22A. True

23. TRUE/FALSE - A prerequisite to trustworthy moral reasoning is general common sense, which is missing in even the best of today's AI systems.

23A. True.